Edward Paul Do...
@EddoneganB

Edward Paul Donegan
@EddoneganB

Promote

If you create an excuse then you say you did not break the law

Nothing can stop criminal conduct when it is considered a means to an end that makes it allowable rather than criminal

I hear you saying something like: **systems tend to become "loyal" to whatever behavior is rewarded**, and if enough people benefit from (or excuse) lawlessness, it can start to look like the "real" rule-set—especially when institutions cover it up or rationalize it as "necessary."That idea has a solid backbone in political science and sociology, even if the ***specific*** conclusion ("mobsters/criminals are the true form of US government") is a much bigger claim that needs a very high standard of evidence.Here are a few ways to frame what you're getting at—without either dismissing it or treating it as automatically true.

1) "Internally consistent" can still be morally or legally brokenYou're basically describing a consistency principle:➔ In a **loyal** government, loyalty norms are rewarded → loyal people fit.

➔ In a **disloyal/corrupt** government, disloyalty norms are rewarded → disloyal people fit.

That's real: organizations can become coherent around bad incentives. "Internally consistent" doesn't mean "legitimate," it means "stable given the incentives."

2) Criminality can "outsize" laws when three conditions holdYour "outsize the laws" theory maps closely onto how entrenched corruption works:➔ **Scale/resources:** the illegal network has money, violence, patronage, or control of chokepoints.

Search

Relevant people

Edward Paul Donegan
@EddoneganB
Biographer of my family, the Chauncey Marvin Holt (trainyard hobo) story, the Sam Giancana family story, the Kennedy family and Kennedy curse, and how my family

Live on X

INFOWARS is hosting
Breaking Live Infowars Sunday Briefing With Nick ... +26.6K

SpeakWithDeeDee is speaking
THE WEEKLY NEWS REPORT! A RECAP OF ... +821

Badd Company is speaking
Coffee with Badd and Tundra +278

Goyim eller hur.. hur lurade är svensken, ... +24

Nikki Moonitz is listening
Ask me anything +13

What's happening

Trending in United States
El Mencho
Trending with CJNG, Nemesio Oseguera Cervantes

Travel · Trending
Puerto Vallarta

Motorsport · Trending
Riley Herbst

→ **Social acceptance:** people don't just fear it—they ***benefit*** from it (jobs, protection, favors, identity, ideology).
→ **Institutional capture:** enforcement is selectively applied, undermined, or redirected at rivals.
When all three are present, "law" becomes performative—used against outsiders, not insiders. That's not unique to the US; it's a general pattern.
3) "Cover-ups" exist, but they're not all the same phenomenonIt's true that governments do cover things up: scandals, intelligence operations, prosecutorial mistakes, abuses of power, corporate capture, etc. But there's an important split:→ **Localized cover-ups** (agency, case, official, program) are unfortunately common in many countries.
→ **Unified, decades-long master cover-up** is much harder to sustain because:
→ it requires long-term coordination across changing administrations,
→ it increases the number of people "in on it," which raises leak risk,
→ and it has to survive rival factions who would benefit from exposing it.
So a productive way to test your thesis is: **What parts require a centralized conspiracy, and what parts can be explained by incentives + fragmentation + occasional collusion?** The second category is often depressingly sufficient.
4) A useful "reality test" for big claimsIf you want to pressure-test the idea that criminal networks are the "true form" of government, a good method is to demand ***specific, falsifiable predictions***:
A. Mechanism: ***How*** does the criminal layer control outcomes?Money? Blackmail? election capture? control of contractors? intelligence kompromat? union/port control?
B. Observable signatures: What should we see if that mechanism is real? Examples:→ consistent non-prosecution patterns for a defined network, across jurisdictions
→ repeat appointment of linked personnel into key nodes (procurement, ports, licensing, intel oversight)
→ financial flows that survive normal audits (shells, bid-rigging patterns, revolving-door clusters)
→ witnesses/whistleblowers: how often do independent lines corroborate?

Edward Paul Do...
@EddoneganB

Romney
Show more
Terms of Service | Privacy Policy | Cookie Policy | Accessibility | Ads info | More ··· | © 2026 X Corp.

C. Competing explanations: Could the same outcomes be produced by:➔ bureaucratic self-protection (“don’t embarrass the agency”)
➔ partisanship (“protect our side”)
➔ corporate capture (“donors and lobbying”)
➔ incompetence and risk-avoidance (“no one wants to own the decision”)
If your theory is right, it should outperform these alternatives in explaining the details ***and*** predicting new ones.
5) Where your intuition is strongestEven if the “mobsters are the true government” phrasing is too sweeping, your core point is strongest here:➔ **Illegality + justification**: when leaders claim unlawful actions are “worth it” for security or stability, the boundary between state power and criminality can blur.
➔ **Normalization**: repeated exceptions (“temporary measures,” “national security,” “we had to”) become the norm.
➔ **Loyalty inversion**: people become “loyal” to the network, not to law—because the network pays, protects, and promotes.
That’s a real dynamic. It shows up in plenty of documented cases (from local machine politics all the way to intelligence abuses and procurement corruption).
6) If you want to make this argument persuasiveRight now your view is powerful as a moral intuition, but it’ll convince more people if you tighten it into a structured claim:

3:50 PM · Feb 22, 2026 · **1** View

Post your reply

Reply

Edward Paul Donegan @EddoneganB · 1s
I stared with this: Loyalty to Loyalty is Loyalty. Loyalty to Disloyalty is Disloyalty. Loyal People in Loyal Government is internally consistent. Disloyal People in Disloyal Government is also internally consistent. My theory is criminal activity may outsize any laws. That if large enough and popular enough lawless criminal conduct simply can not be stopped because it is embraced instead. I believe the US is large scale ongoing cover-ups that never ended with the John F. Kennedy assasination. If fact the Giancan familiy argued in the book Double Cross and the Holt family in

Self Potrait of a scoundrel that mobsters and criminals always will be the true form of US Governmnet who viability only exists because its illegal actions are concealed or justified as worth it to the State.

Home

Explore

Notifications

Chat

Grok

Premium

Bookmarks

Creator Studio

Articles

Profile

More

Post

Edward Paul Do...

@EddoneganB